

ORIENTATION THEORY IN ARITHMETIC GEOMETRY

FRÉDÉRIC DÉGLISE

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this work is to generalize the Riemann-Roch formula of Panin (see [Pan04]) to the arithmetic case for a natural transformation of cohomologies represented by suitable ring spectra. We cover two cases: the case of a projective morphism between smooth schemes over some base, and the case of a projective morphism between regular schemes with an appropriate notion of absolute purity (Def. 1.3.2). See Theorem 4.3.2. As the reader can guess, the technical heart of this theorem is the construction of the Gysin morphisms – *i.e.* covariant functoriality – involved in this formula. This is done in section 3.

On the way, we prove another kind of Riemann-Roch formula, which can be called *residual Riemann-Roch formula* as it involves residues rather than Gysin maps (see 4.2.3). To our knowledge, this formula is new though one can trace back its existence in some proofs of the fundamental work of Gillet [Gil81].

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Notations and conventions	2
1. Absolute cohomology and purity	2
1.1. Functoriality in stable homotopy	2
1.2. Absolute cohomology	3
1.3. Absolute purity	7
2. Orientation and characteristic classes	7
2.1. Orientation theory and Chern classes	7
2.2. Thom classes and MGL -modules	11
2.3. Fundamental classes	13
2.4. Intersection theory	14
3. Gysin morphisms and localization long exact sequence	17
3.1. Residues and the case of closed immersions	17
3.2. Projective lci morphisms	18
4. Riemann-Roch formulas	20
4.1. Todd classes	20
4.2. The case of closed immersions	21
4.3. The general case	22
References	23

Date: June 2011.

Partially supported by the ANR (grant No. ANR-07-BLAN-042).

NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

All schemes in this paper are assumed to be noetherian of finite dimension. We will say an S -scheme X , or equivalently its structure morphism, is *projective* if it admits an S -embedding into $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ for a suitable integer n .¹

In the whole text, $\mathcal{S}$ stands for a sub-category of the category of such schemes. We will assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is stable by blow-up and contains any open subscheme of (resp. projective bundle over) a scheme in $\mathcal{S}$.

1. ABSOLUTE COHOMOLOGY AND PURITY

1.1. Functoriality in stable homotopy.

1.1.1. Recall that the stable homotopy category of schemes defines a 2-functor from the category of schemes to the category of symmetric monoidal closed triangulated categories. This means that for any morphism of schemes $f : T \rightarrow S$, we have a pullback functor

$$f^* : S\mathcal{H}(S) \rightarrow S\mathcal{H}(T)$$

which is symmetric monoidal and such that for any composable morphisms of schemes f, g , we have the relation: $f^*g^* = (gf)^*$.

We will use the following properties:

- (A1) For any morphism (resp. smooth morphism) f , the functor f^* admits a right (resp. left) adjoint denoted by f_* (resp. $f_\sharp$).
- (A2) For any cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

such that f is a smooth morphism, the base change map

$$f_\sharp p^* \rightarrow g_\sharp q^*$$

is an isomorphism.

- (A3) For any smooth morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and any spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ over Y (resp. $\mathbb{F}$ over X), the canonical transformation:

$$f_\sharp(\mathbb{E} \wedge f^*(\mathbb{F})) \rightarrow f_\sharp(\mathbb{E}) \wedge \mathbb{F}$$

is an isomorphism.

- (A4) For any closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$ with complementary open immersion j , there exists a unique natural transformation $i_*i^* \rightarrow \partial_i j_\sharp j^*[1]$ which fits in a distinguished triangle of the form:

$$j_\sharp j^* \xrightarrow{ad'} Id \xrightarrow{ad} i_*i^* \xrightarrow{\partial_i} j_\sharp j^*[1]$$

where ad (resp. ad') is the unit (resp. counit) map of the adjunction (i^*, i_*) (resp. $(j_\sharp, j^*)$).

Except for the last property, these are easy consequences of the construction of $S\mathcal{H}$ – see [Ayo07]. Property (A4) is a consequence of [MV99, §3, th. 2.21] – see [Ayo07, 4.5.47] for details.

Remark 1.1.2. One can deduce from (A4) that i_* is fully faithful. Moreover, when i is a nil-immersion, i^* is fully faithful, so that (i^*, i_*) is an equivalence of categories.

Note also that we can derive from properties (A1) and (A4) the following ones:

- (A5) For any closed immersion i , the functor i_* admits a right adjoint denoted by $i^!$.

¹For example, if one works with quasi-projective schemes over a noetherian affine scheme (or more generally a noetherian scheme which admits an ample line bundle), then a morphism is proper if and only if it is projective with our convention – use [EGA2, Cor. 5.3.3].

(A6) For any cartesian square:

$$(1.1.2.a) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{k} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

such that i is a closed immersion, the base change morphism

$$f^*i_* \rightarrow k_*g^*$$

is an isomorphism.

(A7) For any closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$ and any spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ over Z (resp. $\mathbb{F}$ over X), the canonical transformation:

$$i_*(\mathbb{E} \wedge i^*(\mathbb{F})) \rightarrow i_*(\mathbb{E}) \wedge \mathbb{F}$$

is an isomorphism.

1.1.3. For any smooth S -scheme X , we denote by $\Sigma_S^\infty X$ the infinite suspension spectrum associated with the sheaf represented by X with a base point added. Recall that $\Sigma_S^\infty X = f_\#(\mathbb{1}_X)$.

Consider again the notations of axiom (A4). We simply denote by X/U the cokernel of the map of sheaves induced by j . As j is a monomorphism, this defines a homotopy cofiber sequence

$$U \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/U$$

in the $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local model category of simplicial sheaves over S . According to (A4) and Remark 1.1.2, the canonical map $\mathbb{1}_Z \rightarrow i^*\Sigma^\infty(X/U)$ is an isomorphism.

To simplify notations below, we will still denote by X/U the infinite suspension spectrum associated with the sheaf X/U . Given any object K of $S\mathcal{H}(X)$, we get a canonical isomorphism: $i^*(X/U \wedge K) = i^*(K)$ which, by adjunction, induces a map

$$(1.1.3.a) \quad X/U \wedge K \rightarrow i_*i^*(K).$$

In fact, the localization axiom (A4) for i is equivalent to the fact that this map is an isomorphism and i_* is fully faithful – see [CD09, 2.3.15].

Remark 1.1.4. In what follows, we will consider the isomorphisms listed in the properties above as identities unless it involves a non trivial commutativity statement.

1.2. Absolute cohomology. As usual, a ring spectrum over a scheme S will be a commutative monoid of the symmetric monoidal category $S\mathcal{H}(S)$.

Definition 1.2.1. An $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ is a collection of ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}_X$ over X for a scheme X in $\mathcal{S}$ and the data for any morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{S}$ of an isomorphism of ring spectrum $\epsilon_f : \mathbb{E}_Y \rightarrow f^*\mathbb{E}_X$ satisfying the usual cocycle condition.²

A *morphism* $\varphi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute ring spectra is a collection of morphisms $\varphi_X : \mathbb{E}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_X$ of ring spectra indexed by schemes X in $\mathcal{S}$ such that for any morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{S}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}_Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & \mathbb{F}_Y \\ \epsilon_f^\mathbb{E} \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_f^\mathbb{F} \\ f^*\mathbb{E}_X & \xrightarrow{f^*\varphi_X} & f^*\mathbb{F}_X. \end{array}$$

As the category $\mathcal{S}$ is fixed in the entire article, we will simply say *absolute* for $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute.

Example 1.2.2. (1) Assume $\mathcal{S}$ is the category of all schemes.

Then the 0-sphere spectrum S^0 , unit for the smash product, is obviously a ring spectrum.

(2) Assume again $\mathcal{S}$ is the category of all schemes.

For any such scheme S , one can consider one of the following ring spectrum:

- The Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum $H_{\mathbb{E},S}$ (see [CD09]).

²In other words, this is a cartesian section of the $\mathcal{S}$ -fibered category of ring spectra.

- The homotopy invariant K -theory ring spectrum $\mathbf{KGL}_S$ ([Voe98, Rio10]).³
- The cobordism ring spectrum $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ ([PPR08, 2.1]).

Then each of these examples defines an absolute ring spectrum denoted respectively by H_B , $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$. (see the respective reference given above).

- (3) Assume that $\mathcal{S}$ admits an initial object Σ .

Then, given any ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ over Σ , we can define an absolute ring spectrum by considering the collection $f^*(\mathbb{E})$ for any scheme X in $\mathcal{S}$, where $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the canonical map.

1.2.3. In the remaining of this section, we consider a absolute ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ and define structures on its associated cohomology theory.

As usual, we call closed (resp. open) pair any couple (X, Z) (resp. (X/U)) such that X is a scheme and Z (resp. U) is a closed (resp. open) subscheme.

Definition 1.2.4. Given a closed pair (X, Z) , corresponding to a closed immersion i , and a couple $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the relative cohomology of (X, Z) in bidegree (n, m) with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}$ by one of the following equivalent formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) &:= \mathrm{Hom}_X(X/X - Z, \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_X(i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z), \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]) = \mathrm{Hom}_Z(\mathbb{1}_Z, i^! \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]). \end{aligned}$$

When $X = Z$ we simply put: $E^{n,m}(X) := \mathbb{E}_X^{n,m}(X)$.

A morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of absolute ring spectra obviously induces for any closed pair (X, Z) a morphism

$$(1.2.4.a) \quad \varphi_* : \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_Z^{n,m}(X).$$

1.2.5. Contravariant functoriality: Consider a closed pair (X, Z) and a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $T = Y \times_X Z$ be the pullback in the category of schemes, considered as a closed subscheme of Y . Then we get a morphism of abelian groups:

$$f^* : \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_T^{n,m}(Y)$$

by one of the following equivalent definitions:

- According to remark 1.1.3, $f^*(X/X - Z) = Y/Y - T$. Thus, taking a cohomology class $\rho : (X/X - Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]$, the pullback map $f^*(\rho)$ gives the desired map:

$$(Y/Y - T) = f^*(X/X - Z) \rightarrow f^* \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n] \xrightarrow{\epsilon_f^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_Y(m)[n].$$

- Consider the pullback square (1.1.3) with f as above and i the immersion of Z in X . Then property (A6) gives a canonical identification: $f^* i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) = k_* g^*(\mathbb{1}_Z) = k_*(\mathbb{1}_T)$. Thus, taking a cohomology class $\rho : i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]$, the pullback map $f^*(\rho)$ gives the desired map:

$$k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) = f^* i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \rightarrow f^* \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n] \xrightarrow{\epsilon_f^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_Y(m)[n].$$

Remark 1.2.6. The need for the contravariance of relative cohomology just defined is the main reason for us to use absolute ring spectra. Indeed, we have not only used the existence of the structural map ϵ_f but also the fact is is an isomorphism.

1.2.7. Covariant functoriality: Consider closed immersions $T \xrightarrow{\nu} Z \xrightarrow{i} X$ and put $k = i \circ \nu$.

We define a pushout in cohomology with support:

$$\nu_! : \mathbb{E}_T^{n,m}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(Y)$$

by one of the following equivalent definitions:

- By functoriality of homotopy colimits, the obvious immersion $(X - Z) \rightarrow (X - T)$ induces a canonical map $\bar{\nu} : (X/X - Z) \rightarrow (X/X - T)$ in $S\mathcal{H}(X)$. Then we associate to a cohomology class $\rho : (X/X - T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]$ the map $\nu_!(\rho) := \rho \circ \bar{\nu}$.

³Recall it represents *homotopy invariant K-theory* according to [Cis10].

- The unit of the adjunction (ν^*, ν_*) gives a morphism $ad_\nu : i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \rightarrow i_*\nu_*\nu^*(\mathbb{1}_Z) = k_*(\mathbb{1}_T)$ in $S\mathcal{H}(X)$. Then for any cohomology class $\rho : k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]$, we put: $\nu_!(\rho) := \rho \circ ad_\nu$.

1.2.8. Products: In the assumption of the preceding paragraph, we define a *refined product* in cohomology with supports

$$(1.2.8.a) \quad \mathbb{E}_T^{n,m}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{E}_Z^{s,t}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_T^{n+s,m+t}(X), (\lambda, \rho) \mapsto \lambda \cdot \rho$$

as follows. Consider cohomology classes $\lambda : j_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z$ and $\rho : i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X$. Applying i_* to λ , we get a map:

$$k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) = i_*\nu_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow i_*(\mathbb{E}_Z(m)[n]) = i_*i^*(\mathbb{E}_X(m)[n]) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z)(m)[n]$$

where the last identification uses (A7). Let us denote simply by $i_*(\lambda)$ this composite map. We define the product $\lambda \cdot \rho$ as the following composite map:

$$k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \xrightarrow{i_*(\lambda)} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z)(m)[n] \xrightarrow{Id \wedge \rho} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge \mathbb{E}_X(m+s)[n+t] \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \mathbb{E}_X(m+s)[n+t].$$

One can deduce from this product the usual product of cohomology with support: assume we are given a cartesian square of closed immersions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{i'} & Z' \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

Then one defines the *cup-product*:

$$(1.2.8.b) \quad \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) \otimes \mathbb{E}_{Z'}^{s,t}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_T^{n+s,m+t}(X), (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \cup \beta = \iota^*(\alpha) \cdot \beta.$$

One can also describe this product using the following identification in $S\mathcal{H}(X)$:

$$(X/X - Z') \wedge (X/X - Z) = (X/X - T).$$

This can be obtained by a direct computation of homotopy colimits or by applying formula (1.1.3.a) and (A6) as follows:

$$(X/X - Z') \wedge (X/X - Z) = \iota_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z'}) \wedge i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) = \iota_*(\iota^*i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z)) = \iota_*i'_*\nu^*(\mathbb{1}_Z) = (X/X - W).$$

Then given $\alpha : (X/X - T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(m)[n]$ and $\beta : (X/X - Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X(t)[s]$, one checks that $\iota^*(\alpha) \cdot \beta$ is equal to the following composite map:

$$(X/X - W) = (X/X - T) \wedge (X/X - Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha \wedge \beta} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge \mathbb{E}_X(m+s)[n+s] \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \mathbb{E}_X(m+s)[n+r].$$

Note that when $Z = Z' = X$ the product (1.2.8.b) describes the usual cup-product in $\mathbb{E}$ -cohomology.

We have gathered the basic properties of these operations in the following proposition:

Proposition 1.2.9. *Given an absolute ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}$, the following properties hold:*

- (E1) $f^*g^* = (gf)^*$, $\nu'_!\nu_! = (\nu'\nu)_!$ whenever defined.
- (E2) When ν is a closed nil-immersion⁴, $\nu_!$ is an isomorphism.
- (E3) Consider the following cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & Z' & \rightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Z & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where horizontal maps are closed immersions. Then for any cohomology class $\rho \in \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(X)$, $f^*\nu_!(\rho) = \nu'_!f^*(\rho)$.

- (E4) Consider closed immersions: $W \xrightarrow{\iota} Z \xrightarrow{\nu} T \xrightarrow{i} X$.

Then for any triple $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{E}_W^{**}(T) \times \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Z) \times \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$, one has:

$$\lambda \cdot (\alpha \cdot \beta) = (\lambda \cdot \alpha) \cdot \beta.$$

⁴i.e. its ideal is nilpotent.

- (E5) Under the assumption of (E3), for any couple $(\lambda, \rho) \in \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Z) \times \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$, one has:
 $f^*(\lambda \cdot \rho) = g^*(\lambda) \cdot f^*(\rho)$.
- (E6) Under the assumption of (E4), for any couple $(\lambda, \rho) \in \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Z) \times \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$, one has:
 $\nu_!(\lambda \cdot \rho) = \nu_!(\lambda) \cdot \beta$.
- (E7) Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & Z' \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ T & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Z \xrightarrow{i} X \end{array}$$

made of closed immersions and such that the square is cartesian. Then for any couple $(\lambda, \rho) \in \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Z) \times \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$, one has: $\nu_!(g^*(\lambda) \cdot \rho) = \lambda \cdot g_!(\rho)$.

Proof. (E1) is clear and (E2) follows from Remark 1.1.2.

Consider point (E3). Recall that $\nu_!$ (resp. $\nu'_!$) is induced by (pre)composition with the canonical map $\epsilon_X : (X/X - Z) \rightarrow (X/X - T)$ (resp. $\epsilon_Y : (Y/Y - Z') \rightarrow (Y/Y - T')$). Then (E3) simply follows from the fact $f^*(\epsilon_X) = \epsilon_Y$.

Point (E4) follows easily using the associativity of the product on the ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}_Z$. Point (E5) follows easily using (A6) and point (E6) is clear.

Point (E7) is the most difficult one. We write $\lambda : \nu_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z$ and $\rho : k_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z'}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X$. Let us denote by i' (resp. k, k') the obvious embedding of Z' (resp. T, T') in X . We let $ad_g : i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \rightarrow k_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z'})$ (resp. $ad_h : k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow k'_*(\mathbb{1}_{T'})$) be the map induced by the unit of the adjunction (g_*, g^*) (resp. (h^*, h_*)). Then the left hand side of the relation to be proved is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) &\xrightarrow{ad_h} k'_*(\mathbb{1}_{T'}) = k'_*g^*\nu_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \xrightarrow{k'_*g^*(\lambda)} k'_*g^*(\mathbb{E}_Z) = k_*(\mathbb{E}_{Z'}) = \mathbb{E}_X \wedge k'_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z'}) \\ &\xrightarrow{Id \wedge \rho} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge \mathbb{E}_X \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{E}_X, \end{aligned}$$

while the right hand side is:

$$\begin{aligned} k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) &= i_*g_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \xrightarrow{i_*(\lambda)} i_*(\mathbb{E}_Z) = \mathbb{E}_X \otimes i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \xrightarrow{Id \wedge ad_g} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge k_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z'}) \\ &\xrightarrow{Id \wedge \rho} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge \mathbb{E}_X \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{E}_X. \end{aligned}$$

To check the identity, we prove the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k_*h_*h^*(\mathbb{1}_T) & \xlongequal{\quad} & i_*g_*\nu'_*h^*(\mathbb{1}_T) & \xleftarrow{b} & i_*g_*g^*\nu_*(\mathbb{1}_T) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & i_*g_*g^*(\mathbb{E}_Z) \xlongequal{\quad} \mathbb{E}_X \wedge i_*g_*g^*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \\ \uparrow ad_h & & (1) & & \uparrow ad_g & & \uparrow ad_g \\ k_*(\mathbb{1}_T) & \xlongequal{\quad} & i_*\nu_*(\mathbb{1}_T) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & i_*(\mathbb{E}_Z) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{E}_X \wedge i_*(\mathbb{1}_Z) \end{array}$$

where the maps of label ad_g (resp. ad_h) are induced by the unit of the adjunction (g^*, g_*) (resp. (h^*, h_*)) and the map b stands for the base change isomorphism obtained from (A6). Only the commutativity of part (1) is non trivial. It follows from the description of the base change map b as the composite:

$$g^*\nu_* \xrightarrow{ad_h} g^*\nu_*h_*h^* \xlongequal{\quad} g^*g_*\nu'_*h^* \xrightarrow{ad'_g} \nu'_*h^*$$

and the relation between the unit and the counit ad'_g the adjunction (g^*, g_*) . $\square$

1.2.10. It is usually convenient to introduce the following notions. We define a *morphism of closed pairs* $\Delta : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, Z)$ as being a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \rightarrow & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

such that the induced map $T \xrightarrow{\nu} Y \times_X Z$ is a nil-immersion.⁵ We say that the morphism Δ is *cartesian* when the above square is cartesian in the category of schemes – *i.e.* ν is an isomorphism. We also use the notation (f, g) for Δ when we want to refer to the morphisms in the above square. The composition of morphisms of closed pairs is given in categorical terms by the vertical composition of squares.

Using (E2), we associate to Δ the following composite morphism of abelian groups:

$$\Delta^* : \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathbb{E}_{Z \times_X Y}^{n,m}(Y) \xrightarrow{\nu_1^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_T^{n,m}(Y).$$

The relation $\Delta^* \Theta^* = (\Theta \Delta)^*$ is clear from (E1) and (E3).

Remark 1.2.11. Given a transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, it is clear that the associated morphism (1.2.4.a) is natural with respect to contravariant and covariant functorialities. Moreover, it is compatible with all the products of Paragraph 1.2.8.

1.3. Absolute purity.

1.3.1. Let (X, Z) be a closed pair in $\mathcal{S}$. We say (X, Z) is *regular* if the inclusion $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is a regular embedding.

Assume (X, Z) is regular. We let $N_Z X$ (resp. $B_Z X$) be the normal cone (resp. blow-up) of Z in X . Recall the definition of the *deformation space* of (X, Z) as:

$$D_Z X = B_{0 \times Z}(\mathbb{A}_X^1) - B_Z X$$

(see for example [Dég08, §4.1]). This is a flat scheme over $\mathbb{A}^1$ whose fiber over 1 (resp. 0) is X (resp. $N_Z X$). Note also that $D_Z Z = \mathbb{A}_Z^1$ is a closed subscheme of $D_Z X$ so that we finally get a *deformation diagram* of closed pairs:

$$(1.3.1.a) \quad (X, Z) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1) \xleftarrow{\sigma_0} (N_Z, Z),$$

made of cartesian morphisms.

This diagram is natural with respect to cartesian morphisms of closed pairs.

Definition 1.3.2. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$ -absolute ring spectrum.

For any closed pair (X, Z) , we say that (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure if (X, Z) is regular and the morphisms

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(X, Z) \xleftarrow{\sigma_1^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1) \xrightarrow{\sigma_0^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(N_Z, Z)$$

induced by the above deformation diagram are isomorphisms of bigraded abelian groups.

We say that $\mathbb{E}$ is *absolutely pure* if any regular closed pair in $\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure.

Example 1.3.3. (1) Let $\mathbb{E}$ be any absolute ring spectrum and S be a scheme.

Then any smooth closed S -pair (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure according to [MV99, §4, th. 2.23].

(2) According to [CD09], the absolute ring spectra $H_{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\mathbf{KGL}$ are absolutely pure.

(3) Recall from [NSØ09, 10.5] that there is an isomorphism of absolute ring spectra:

$$\mathbf{MGL} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = H_{\mathbb{B}}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$$

where b_i is a generator of degree $(2i, i)$. Then the preceding example implies $\mathbf{MGL} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is absolutely pure. We deduce from that example that any Landweber spectrum (cf. [NSØ09, th. 7.3]) with rational coefficients is absolutely pure.

2. ORIENTATION AND CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

2.1. Orientation theory and Chern classes. The considerations of this section are well known in motivic homotopy theory (see for example [Bor03, Vez01] – in the case of a base field).⁶ They were also studied, with a slightly different formalism, in our paper [Dég08].

⁵In other words, the diagram Δ is topologically cartesian.

⁶The theory has first been considered by Voevodsky and Morel. At least, I learned them during my thesis from my advisor F. Morel.

2.1.1. Let S be a scheme. We will assume that the scheme $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ is pointed by the infinite point (of homogeneous coordinates $[0 : \dots : 0 : 1]$ to fix ideas). Then we get a tower of pointed S -schemes

$$\mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^2 \rightarrow \dots \mathbb{P}_S^n \xrightarrow{\iota_n} \mathbb{P}_S^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

where ι_n denotes the embedding of the last n -th coordinates. The colimit of this tower in the category of pointed sheaves defines an object $\mathbb{P}_S^\infty$ of the pointed homotopy category $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)$ – see [MV99]. We still denote by $\iota_1 : \mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^\infty$ the map of the homotopy category induced obvious embeddings.

The following definition is now basic in the motivic homotopy theory:

Definition 2.1.2. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an absolute ring spectrum with unit $\eta_S : S^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_S$ over S . We can see η_S as a class in the reduced cohomology $\mathbb{E}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}_S^1)$.⁷

An *orientation* of $\mathbb{E}$ over S is a class $c_{1,S}$ in the reduced cohomology $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}_S^\infty)$ such that $\iota_1^*(c_1) = \eta_S$.

An (absolute) *orientation* of $\mathbb{E}$ is a family of classes $c_1 = (c_{1,S})$ for any scheme S in $\mathcal{Sch}$ such that for any morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$, $f^*(c_{1,S}) = c_{1,T}$. In this situation, we say also that $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ is an oriented absolute ring spectrum.

2.1.3. Recall from [MV99, §4, 3.7] there exists a canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)$:

$$\mathrm{BG}_m \simeq \mathbb{P}_S^\infty$$

where BG_m is the (Nisnevich) classifying space of G_m . This immediately gives an application:

$$(2.1.3.a) \quad \mathrm{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{(*)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet^s(S)}(S_+, \mathrm{BG}_m) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)}(S_+, \mathrm{BG}_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)}(S_+, \mathbb{P}_S^\infty)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\bullet^s(S)$ denotes the simplicial homotopy category and the first map is induced by the projection functor $\mathcal{H}_\bullet^s(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)$ – the target category being the $\mathbb{A}^1$ -localization of the source category. We have used [MV99, §4, 1.15] for the identification $(*)$.⁸

Remark 2.1.4. For any integer n , we let λ_n be the canonical line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ characterized by the property that $\lambda_n \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}_S^n$. Then the family $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines an element λ of $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_S^\infty)$ – which generates this group has a ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{Z}$ as soon as S is local. The map (2.1.3.a) is characterized by the fact it sends λ to the canonical projection $\mathbb{P}_{S+}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^\infty$.

Definition 2.1.5. Let $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ be an absolute oriented ring spectrum.

For any scheme S , we associated to the class c_1 a canonical morphism of sets:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 : \mathrm{Pic}(S) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)}(S_+, \mathbb{P}_S^\infty) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{S\mathcal{H}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty S_+, \Sigma^\infty \mathbb{P}_S^\infty) \\ &\xrightarrow{(c_{1,S})^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{S\mathcal{H}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty S_+, \mathbb{E}_S(1)[2]) = \mathbb{E}^{2,1}(S), \end{aligned}$$

called the associated first Chern class.

2.1.6. According to this definition and the preceding remark, we get the following properties:

- (a) For any morphism of schemes $f : T \rightarrow S$ and any line bundle λ on S , $f^*c_1(\lambda) = c_1(f^{-1}\lambda)$.
- (b) Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer, λ_n be the line bundle over $\mathbb{P}_S^n$ considered in the remark and $\nu_n : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^\infty$ be the obvious morphism.
Then $c_1(\lambda_n) = \nu_n^*(c_{1,S})$ as classes in $\mathbb{E}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}_S^n)$.

Remark 2.1.7. One must be careful that the relation $c_1(\lambda \otimes \lambda') = c_1(\lambda) + c_1(\lambda')$ does not necessarily holds. This is due to the fact that the second of the three maps considered in the definition of c_1 is not a morphism of abelian groups. This remark will be made more precise latter.

⁷By definition of the Tate twist, $\mathbb{E}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}_S^1) = \mathbb{E}^{2,1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{E}^{0,0}(S)$.

⁸Note also Morel and Voevodsky proved the map (2.1.3.a) is an isomorphism whenever S is regular ; *op. cit.* Prop. 3.8.

2.1.8. Next we recall the projective bundle theorem for $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$.

Let $p : P \rightarrow S$ be a projective bundle of rank n with canonical line bundle λ . We define the following morphism:

$$\epsilon_P : \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E}^{**}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(P), (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_i p^*(x_i) \cdot c_1(\lambda)^i.$$

Theorem 2.1.9. *With the above assumptions and notations, the morphism ϵ_P is an isomorphism.*

In other words, $\mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$ is a free graded $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -module with basis $(1, c_1(\lambda), \dots, c_1(\lambda)^n)$ (as usual).

Proof. The following proof is due to Morel.

Using the Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence associated to an open cover by two open subsets, we reduce to the case where P is trivial and then to the case $P = \mathbb{P}_S^n$ – this uses only property 2.1.6(a).

Then the proof goes on by induction on n ; the case $n = 0$ is trivial and the case $n = 1$ is an immediate consequence of the definition of the orientation $c_{1,S}$.

The principle of the induction is to use the following facts:

- Let $\mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1}$ be the cokernel of the embedding ι_{n-1} in the category of pointed sheaves. Then the sequence

$$\mathbb{P}_S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\iota_n} \mathbb{P}_S^n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1}$$

is homotopy exact; in particular, it induces a long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_n^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_S^n) \xrightarrow{\iota_n^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

- There exists a canonical isomorphisms in $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)$:

$$\tau_n : \mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_S^1)^{\wedge, n}.$$

Then we are reduced to prove the following relations:

- $c_1(\lambda_{n-1})^n = 0$.
- Note that we get through the isomorphism τ_n an isomorphism $\tau_n^* : \mathbb{E}^{2n,n}(\mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1}) \simeq \mathbb{E}^{0,0}(S)$. Then $\tau_n^*(c_1(\lambda_n)^n) = \eta_S$, the unit of the ring spectrum $\mathbb{E}_S$.

These relations can easily be deduced from the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1.10 (Morel). *Let $\delta_n : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_S^n)^n$ be the n -th diagonal of $\mathbb{P}_S^n / S$. Then the following square commutes in $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(S)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_S^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & (\mathbb{P}_S^n)^{\wedge, n} \\ \pi_n \downarrow & & \uparrow (\iota_1)^{\wedge, n} \\ \mathbb{P}_S^n / \mathbb{P}_S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tau_n} & (\mathbb{P}_S^1)^{\wedge, n}. \end{array}$$

For this lemma, we refer the reader to the proof of [Dég08, lem. 3.3]. For more details on the proof of our theorem, one can also see the proof of [Dég08, th. 3.2] $\square$

As first remarked by Morel, the projective bundle theorem admits the following corollary – whose proof can be easily adapted from [Dég08, Cor. 3.6].

Corollary 2.1.11. *Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an orientable absolute ring spectrum. Then for any scheme X in $\mathcal{S}$ and any closed subschemes Z, Z' of X , one has the following property:*

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,p}(X) \times \mathbb{E}_{Z'}^{m,q}(X), \quad x \cup y = (-1)^{nm} y \cup x.$$

Following the method of Grothendieck, we can now introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.1.12. Let $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ be an absolute oriented ring spectrum.

Let E/S be a vector bundle of rank n . We let $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ be the associated projective bundle, with projection p and canonical line bundle λ .

Using the previous theorem, we define the *Chern classes of E/S* with coefficients in $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ as the elements $c_i(E)$ of $\mathbb{E}^{2i,i}(S)$ for an integer $i \in [0, n]$ such that

$$(2.1.12.a) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n p^*(c_i(E)) \cdot (-c_1(\lambda))^{n-i} = 0$$

and $c_0(E) = 1$. We put $c_i(E) = 0$ for $i \notin [0, n]$.

Indeed, the above theorem guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the Chern classes $c_i(E)$.

2.1.13. We deduce from this definition the following (usual) properties of Chern classes:

- (a) For any vector bundle E/S , and any morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$, $f^*c_i(E) = c_i(f^{-1}E)$.
- (b) For any scheme S and any isomorphism of vector bundles $E \simeq E'$ over S , $c_i(E) = c_i(E')$.
- (c) For any scheme S , any exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$$

and integer $k \geq 0$, $c_k(E) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_i(E') \cdot c_j(E'')$.

For details on fomula (c) – *Whitney sum formula* – we refer the reader to [Dég08, 3.13].

2.1.14. *The associated formal group law.* – For any couple of integers (n, m) , the usual Segre embeddings

$$\sigma_{nm} : \mathbb{P}_X^n \times_X \mathbb{P}_X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^{n+m+nm}$$

induces a comultiplication

$$(2.1.14.a) \quad \sigma : \mathbb{P}_X^\infty \times_X \mathbb{P}_X^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^\infty.$$

This gives a structure of an H-group to the object $\mathbb{P}_X^\infty$ of $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(X)$ which in turn induces a group structure on the target of the map (2.1.3.a). We deduce from the previous paragraph and the equality

$$\sigma_{nm}^{-1}(\lambda_{n+m+nm}) = \lambda_n \times_X \lambda_m$$

that (2.1.3.a) is a morphism of abelian groups.

Suppose $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ is an absolute oriented ring spectrum. According to Theorem 2.1.9, the pullback along σ corresponds to a morphism:

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)[[c_1]] \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(X)[[x, y]]$$

where x and y stands for the Chern classes of the two canonical line bundles $p_1^{-1}(\lambda)$ and $p_2^{-1}(\lambda)$. This morphism is determined by the power series:

$$(2.1.14.b) \quad F_X(x, y) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{ij}^X \cdot x^i y^j := \sigma^*(c_1).$$

As σ is a comultiplication, one deduces that F_X is a commutative formal group law with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ – see [Dég08, §3.7]. In fact, the class a_{ij}^S enjoy the following properties:

- $a_{ij}^S \in \mathbb{E}^{2-2i, 1-i}(S)$.
- $a_{0,1}^S = 0$, $a_{0,i}^S = 0$ if $i > 0$, $a_{ij}^S = a_{ji}^S$.
- for any morphism of schemes $f : T \rightarrow S$, $f^*(a_{ij}^S) = a_{ij}^T$.

Proposition 2.1.15. *Consider the notations above.*

- (1) *For any line bundle L/X , the class $c_1(L)$ is nilpotent in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$.*
- (2) *For any line bundles L, L' over X ,*

$$c_1(L_1 \otimes L_2) = F_X(c_1(L_1), c_1(L_2)) \in \mathbb{E}^{2,1}(X).$$

Proof. Point (1) follows from the hypothesis X is noetherian – see for example the proof of the analog proposition [Dég08, 3.8]. Point (2) is tautological once we have taking care of the preliminary remark of Paragraph 2.1.14. $\square$

Remark 2.1.16. In fact, this proposition is the exact analog of [Dég08, Prop. 3.8]. We have stated it as the assumption X admits an ample line bundle is not necessary in the context used here.

2.2. Thom classes and MGL-modules.

2.2.1. Let $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ be an absolute oriented ring spectrum (see Def. 2.1.2).

Let E/X be a vector bundle of rank n , $\mathbb{P}(E)$ (resp. $\bar{E}$, $E^\times$) be the associated projective bundle (resp. projective completion, complement of the zero section). Recall from [MV99, §3, 2.16] we define the Thom space of E/X as the pointed sheaf

$$\mathrm{Th}(E) = E/E^\times.$$

According to *loc. cit.*, Prop. 2.17, we get a canonical weak $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalence $\mathrm{Th}(E) \simeq \bar{E}/\mathbb{P}(E)$ of simplicial sheaves, the left hand side being the cokernel of the canonical embedding $\nu : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \bar{E}$ in the category of pointed sheaves. Thus we get a homotopy cofiber sequence for the $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local model structure:

$$\mathbb{P}(E) \xrightarrow{\nu} \bar{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Th}(E)$$

which induces a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E)) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\bar{E}) \xrightarrow{\nu^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \rightarrow \dots$$

According to Theorem 2.1.9, ν^* is a split epimorphism of free $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -modules of respective ranks n and $n-1$. Thus $\mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(X))$ is a free $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -module of rank 1, isomorphic to $\ker(\nu^*)$.

Definition 2.2.2. Consider the notations and assumptions above.

We define the *Thom class* of E/X as the following element of $\mathbb{E}^{2n,n}(\bar{E})$:

$$\mathfrak{t}(E) = \sum_{i=0}^n p^*(c_i(E)) \cdot (-c_1(\lambda))^{n-i}$$

We define the *refined Thom class* $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E)$ of E as the unique element of $\mathbb{E}^{2n,n}(\mathrm{Th}(X))$ such that

$$\pi^*(\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E)) = \mathfrak{t}(E).$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E)) = \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E)$ (see Definition 1.2.4). According to the preceding paragraph, the canonical map:

$$(2.2.2.a) \quad \mathbb{E}^{**}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E), \lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E)$$

defined in Paragraph 1.2.8 is an isomorphism called the *Thom isomorphism*.

When the base of the vector bundle E is not clear, we indicate it as follows: $\mathbb{P}_X(E)$, $\mathrm{Th}_X(E)$, $\mathfrak{t}(E/X)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E/X)$.

We deduce from formulas (a), (b) of 2.1.13 that Thom classes are compatible with base change and invariant under isomorphisms of vector bundles.

Remark 2.2.3. Recall the universal quotient bundle ξ on $\bar{E}$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow p^{-1}(E \oplus 1) \rightarrow \xi \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the Whitney sum formula 2.1.13(c) gives the following formula:

$$(2.2.3.a) \quad \mathfrak{t}(E) = c_n(\xi).$$

2.2.4. Recall the cobordism spectrum **MGL** is given by the sequence of Thom spaces $\mathrm{Th}(\gamma_n/\mathrm{BGL}_n)$ for $n > 0$ where γ_n is the tautological rank n vector bundle over the classifying space of GL_n . Given an oriented absolute ring spectrum, the Thom class defined previously allows to define a morphism $\varphi : \mathbf{MGL} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. This is the key observation of the following proposition (see [Vez01, 4.3]):

Proposition 2.2.5. *Let $\mathbb{E}$ be an absolute ring spectrum. Then the following sets corresponds bijectively:*

- (i) *orientations c_1 on $\mathbb{E}$;*
- (ii) *maps of absolute ring spectra $\varphi : \mathbf{MGL} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$.*

Remark 2.2.6. Note that $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ is canonically oriented – as $\mathrm{Th}(\gamma_1) = \mathrm{BG}_m$. Let us denote this orientation by $c_1^{\mathbf{MGL}}$. Then we get the map from the set (ii) to the set (i) by associating to a morphism φ the image of $c_1^{\mathbf{MGL}}$ by the induced map

$$\varphi_* : \widetilde{\mathbf{MGL}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{P}^\infty).$$

2.2.7. A module over the ring spectrum $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ is an $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -module in $S\mathcal{H}(S)$ in the classical sense: a spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ over S equipped with a multiplication map $\gamma_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbf{MGL}_S \wedge \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ satisfying the usual identities – see [ML98].

Given two $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}$, a morphism of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules is a morphism $f : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ in $S\mathcal{H}(S)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{MGL}_S \wedge \mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f} & \mathbf{MGL}_S \wedge \mathbb{F} \\ \gamma_{\mathbb{E}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathbb{F}} \\ \mathbb{E} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{F}. \end{array}$$

We will denote by $\mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S^w$ the additive category of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules.

Given any spectrum $\mathbb{E}$, $\mathbf{MGL}_S \wedge \mathbb{E}$ has an obvious structure of an $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -module. The assignment $L_{\mathbf{MGL}}^w : \mathbb{E} \mapsto \mathbf{MGL}_S \wedge \mathbb{E}$ defines a functor left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{MGL}}^w$ and we get an adjunction of categories:

$$(2.2.7.a) \quad L_{\mathbf{MGL}}^w : S\mathcal{H}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S^w : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{MGL}}^w.$$

The category $\mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S^w$ is not well behaved: it has no triangulated monoidal structure. In [Dég08, Ex. 2.12(2)] and [Dég10, Sec. 2.2], we introduced the homotopy category of *strict* $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules. We will denote it by $\mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S$ and call it the *homotopy category of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules*. This is an enrichment of the category of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules: one defines a canonical commutative diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S\mathcal{H}(S) & \\ L_{\mathbf{MGL}} \swarrow & & \searrow L_{\mathbf{MGL}}^w \\ \mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}'_{\mathbf{MGL}}} & \mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S^w \end{array}$$

where $L_{\mathbf{MGL}}$ is a triangulated functor and $\mathcal{O}'_{\mathbf{MGL}}$ is a conservative functor.

Thus, as a corollary of Proposition 2.2.5, we get the following result.

Proposition 2.2.8. *Let $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ be an oriented absolute ring spectrum.*

Then for any scheme S , the functor $\varphi_{\mathbb{E}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{S\mathcal{H}(S)}(-, \mathbb{E})$ induces a canonical functor $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{E}}$ which fits in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\mathcal{H}(S)^{op} & & \\ (L_{\mathbf{MGL}})^{op} \downarrow & \searrow \varphi_{\mathbb{E}} & \\ (\mathbf{MGL}\text{-mod}_S)^{op} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathcal{A}b \end{array}$$

2.2.9. Let S be a scheme. Recall the considerations of [Dég08, §2.3.2]. For any cartesian square of smooth S -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \rightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow \\ U & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

made of immersions, we denote by $\frac{X/U}{V/W}$ the colimit of Δ in the category of sheaves over S .⁹

We put $\mathbf{MGL}_S\left(\frac{X/U}{V/W}\right)$ the image of the infinite suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty\left(\frac{X/U}{V/W}\right)$ by the functor $L_{\mathbf{MGL}}$ defined above. When $V = W = \emptyset$ (resp. $U = V = W = \emptyset$), we simply denote this object by $\mathbf{MGL}_S(X/U)$ (resp. $\mathbf{MGL}_S(X)$) – according to the notation of Par. 1.1.3.

⁹As every maps in this diagram are cofibrations, this is the homotopy colimit of the diagram in the $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local model category of simplicial sheaves. It measures the obstruction for the square Δ to be homotopy cocartesian.

As explained in [Dég08, 2.3.2, Ex. 2.12(2)], the category $\mathbf{MGL} - \text{mod}_S$ together with the canonical orientation $c_1^{\mathbf{MGL}}$ of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ satisfies all the axioms of [Dég08, §2.1]. Therefore we can apply the results of *loc. cit.* to that category.

Then, according to the previous proposition, for any smooth closed S -pair (X, Z) , we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) = \text{Hom}_{S\mathcal{H}(S)}(X/X - Z, \mathbb{E}(m)[n]) = \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbb{E}}(\mathbf{MGL}_S(X/X - Z)(-m)[-n]).$$

The important thing for us is that, given smooth closed S -pairs (X, Z) and (Y, T) and a pair of integers (n, m) , any morphism of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules of the form:

$$\mathbf{MGL}_S(X/X - Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}_S(Y/Y - T)(m)[n]$$

induces a canonical homogeneous morphism of bigraded abelian groups

$$\mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{*+n, *+m}(X).$$

Obviously, this association is compatible with composition.

Example 2.2.10. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective S -morphism between smooth S -schemes. Assume f has dimension d . Then, applying [Dég08, 5.12], we get the Gysin morphism

$$f^* : \mathbf{MGL}_S(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}_S(Y)(d)[2d]$$

which in turn induces a push-forward in cohomology:

$$f_* : \mathbb{E}^{*,*}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{*+d, *+2d}(X).$$

The purpose of the next sections is to generalize this pushforward in the case of regular schemes.

2.3. Fundamental classes. In this section and the following one, we fix an absolute oriented ring spectrum $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$.

Definition 2.3.1. Let (X, Z) be a regular closed pair of codimension n and normal bundle $N_Z X$. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be the corresponding embedding.

When (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure we define the *refined fundamental class* of Z in X (with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}$) as the image of the Thom class $\mathfrak{t}(N_Z X)$ by the isomorphisms (see Definition 1.3.2):

$$(2.3.1.a) \quad \mathbb{E}^{2n,n}(\text{Th}(N_Z X)) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_0^*)^{-1}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1}^{2n,n}(D_Z X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1^*} \mathbb{E}_Z^{2n,n}(X).$$

We denote it by $\bar{\eta}_X(Z)$.

We also define the *fundamental class* of Z in X as the following class of $\mathbb{E}^{2n,n}(X)$:

$$\eta_X(Z) := i_!(\bar{\eta}_X(Z)).$$

Because σ_0^*, σ_1^* are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{E}^{**}(Z)$ -modules, and according to the Thom isomorphism (2.2.2.a) $\bar{\eta}_X(Z)$ is in fact a base of the $\mathbb{E}^{**}(Z)$ -bigraded module $\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$; in other words, we get an isomorphism

$$(2.3.1.b) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{(X,Z)} : \mathbb{E}^{**}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X), z \mapsto z \cdot \bar{\eta}_X(Z)$$

called the *purity isomorphism*.

Example 2.3.2. Let E/X be a vector bundle. Then the closed X -pair (E, X) corresponding to the zero section is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure – see Example 1.3.3(1). Then we get almost readily from the above definition the equality of classes in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(\text{Th}(E/X))$:

$$(2.3.2.a) \quad \bar{\eta}_E(X) = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E/X)$$

Let $\bar{E}/X$ be the projective completion of E/X . Again, the closed S -pair $(\bar{E}, X)$ is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure and one gets from the above definition that $\bar{\eta}_E(X) = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E/X)$ through the identification $\mathbb{E}_X^{**}(\bar{E}) = \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E)$. In particular,

$$(2.3.2.b) \quad \eta_E(X) = \mathfrak{t}(E/X).$$

Remark 2.3.3. Consider a smooth closed S -pair (X, Z) of codimension n . Recall from Example 1.3.3(1) that (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure. We have defined in [Dég08, 4.6] a purity isomorphism in the homotopy category of $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules:

$$\mathbf{MGL}_S(X/X - Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{MGL}_S(Z)(n)[2n].$$

According to the above example and [Dég08, 4.3, 4.4], we get that the induced morphism in $\mathbb{E}$ -cohomology (see 2.2.9) coincides exactly with the purity isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_{(X,Z)}$ introduced above. In particular, the class $\bar{\eta}_X(Z)$ in $\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$ introduced here coincides with that obtained from [Dég08, 4.14] by considering $\mathbf{MGL}_S$ -modules.¹⁰

2.4. Intersection theory.

Definition 2.4.1. Consider a morphism $\Delta : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, Z)$ of closed pairs (Par. 1.2.10) such that (X, Z) and (Y, T) are $\mathbb{E}$ -pure.

Then, according to Definition 2.3.1, there exists a unique class e_Δ in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(T)$ such that

$$\Delta^*(\bar{\eta}_X(Z)) = e_\Delta \cdot \bar{\eta}_Y(T).$$

We call e_Δ the *defect* of the morphism Δ .¹¹

The following result generalizes [Dég08, Prop. 4.16]:

Theorem 2.4.2. *With the notations of the above definition, assume $\Delta = (f, g)$ is cartesian. Then it induces a monomorphism of vector bundles over T : $\nu : N_T Y \rightarrow g^{-1}(N_Z X)$. We put*

$$(2.4.2.a) \quad \xi = g^{-1}(N_Z X)/N_T Y$$

and denote by e the rank of this vector bundle.

Then $e_\Delta = c_e(\xi)$.

Remark 2.4.3. One will apply this theorem in two cases:

- Given a base scheme S , Δ is a morphism of smooth closed S -pairs. This case is already known from [Dég08, 4.16].
- $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure and the schemes $X, Z, Y, T = f^{-1}(Z)$ are all regular.

Proof. Recall the deformation diagram (1.3.1.a) is functorial with respect to the morphism Δ , because it is assumed to be cartesian. Thus, going back to the definition of fundamental classes (2.3.1), we are reduced to consider the case where Δ is the following cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N_T Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \nu \\ & & g^{-1}(N_Z X) \\ & & \downarrow g' \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N_Z X. \end{array}$$

This case now follows from [Dég08, Lem. 4.18] (using the considerations of Paragraph 2.2.9). $\square$

In terms of fundamental classes, we get:

Corollary 2.4.4. *Let $(f, g) : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, Z)$ be a cartesian morphism of $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed pairs. Let $f^* : \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Y)$ be the morphism defined in Paragraph 1.2.5.*

Then, using Definition (2.4.2.a), we get the following formula in $\mathbb{E}_T^{**}(Y)$:

$$f^*(\bar{\eta}_X(Z)) = c_e(\xi) \cdot \bar{\eta}_Y(T).$$

In particular when f is transversal to Z ,

$$(2.4.4.a) \quad f^*(\bar{\eta}_X(Z)) = \bar{\eta}_Y(T).$$

¹⁰The terminology here differs slightly from that of *loc. cit.*: we use the adjective "refined" instead of "localized" which seems more usual.

¹¹One could call this class the defect of transversality. In fact, there are two kinds of possible defect: excess of intersection, ramification.

Remark 2.4.5. According to formulas (E3) and (E7) of Proposition 1.2.9, we get in the condition of the above corollary the even more usual formula in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(Y)$:

$$f^*(\eta_X(Z)) = c_e(\xi) \cdot \eta_Y(T).$$

Applying this formula in the case where f is the zero section $s : X \rightarrow E$ of a vector bundle, we get the relation

$$s^*(\eta_E(X)) = c_n(E).^{12}$$

These two relations gives the following well known trick to compute fundamental classes which we will use later.

Corollary 2.4.6. *Let (X, Z) be an $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed pair of codimension n corresponding to an immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$. Assume there exists a vector bundle E/X with a section s transversal to the zero section s_0 and such that $s_0^{-1}(s) = i$. Then*

$$\eta_X(Z) = c_n(E).$$

An important point of intersection theory is the associativity of intersection product. In our setting, this can be expressed using the refined product of Paragraph 1.2.8. We begin with a particular case of Theorem 2.4.9 which will be the crucial case.

Proposition 2.4.7. *Consider an exact sequence (σ) of vector bundles over a scheme X :*

$$0 \rightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\nu} E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the following relation holds in $\mathbb{E}^{**}(\text{Th}(E))$:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E/X) = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E'/X) \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E/E')$$

using the pairing $\mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E') \otimes \mathbb{E}_{E'}^{**}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E)$ (Paragraph 1.2.8).

Proof. Note that according to formula (2.3.2.a), we have to prove the equality:

$$(2.4.7.a) \quad \bar{\eta}_E(X) = \bar{\eta}_{E'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_E(E').$$

As in the proof of [Rio10, 4.1.1], we can find a torsor T over X over the X -vector bundle $\underline{\text{Hom}}(E'', E')$ such that the sequence splits over T . The morphism $T \rightarrow X$ is an $\mathbb{A}^1$ -weak equivalence ; thus, by compatibility of the Thom class with base change, we can assume the sequence (σ) splits.

Let us consider P' (resp. P'') the projective completion of E'/X (resp. E''/X), $P = P' \times_X P''$, and the following commutative diagram made of cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & E \\ \parallel & & \downarrow j' & & \downarrow j \\ X & \xrightarrow{s'} & P' & \xrightarrow{s''} & P \\ & & \searrow s & & \end{array}$$

where j (resp. s, s', s'') stands for the natural open immersion (resp. canonical sections coming from the obvious zero sections). Remark that if we apply the functor $j^* : \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(E)$ to the following equality:

$$(2.4.7.b) \quad \bar{\eta}_P(X) = \bar{\eta}_{P'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_P(P'),$$

we get (2.4.7.a) – use formula (E5) of Prop. 1.2.9 and formula (2.4.4.a). Thus we are reduced to prove that equality (2.4.7.a) holds in $\mathbb{E}_X^{**}(P)$.

According to the projective bundle formula, the morphism $s_! : \mathbb{E}_X^{**}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$ is a split monomorphism (see the end of Par. 2.2.1 for more details). Thus it is sufficient to prove that

¹²In other words, given a vector bundle E/X the fundamental class of its zero section coincides up to homotopy with its *Euler class*. This fact justifies our choice of Thom class in Definition 2.2.2.

(2.4.7.b) holds after applying $s_!$. That means we have to prove $\eta_P(X)$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} s_!(\bar{\eta}_{P'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_P(P')) &= s_!^{\prime\prime} s_!^{\prime}(\bar{\eta}_{P'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_P(P')) \\ &\stackrel{(E6)}{=} s_!^{\prime\prime}(\eta_{P'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_P(P')) = s_!^{\prime\prime}(s^{\prime\prime*}[\eta_P(P'')]. \bar{\eta}_P(P')) \\ &\stackrel{(E7)}{=} \eta_P(P'') \cdot \eta_P(P') \end{aligned}$$

using once again the properties of the refined product enumerated in Proposition 1.2.9. This last check follows from a direct computation – see [Dég08, Lem. 4.25]. $\square$

Remark 2.4.8. Consider a scheme X . Given vector bundles E' and E'' over X , we get using the product defined in Paragraph 1.2.8 a canonically map of Künneth type:

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E')) \otimes_{\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E'')) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E' \oplus E'')).$$

According to the above proposition, $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E' \oplus E'') = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E') \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E'')$. In other words, the above map is an isomorphism (of bigraded $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -modules).

Now, given an exact sequence (σ) of vector bundles as in the above proposition, Riou in the proof of [Rio10, 4.1.1] shows there exists a canonical isomorphism in $S\mathcal{H}(X)$

$$\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(E') \wedge \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(E'') \xrightarrow{\epsilon_\sigma} \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(E).$$

Using this isomorphism, one defines a canonical product of bigraded $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E')) \otimes_{\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E'')) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E') \wedge \mathrm{Th}(E'')) \xrightarrow{(\epsilon_\sigma^*)^{-1}} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(E)). \\ a \otimes b &\longmapsto \langle a, b \rangle_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Then the relation obtained in the previous proposition can be rewritten as follows:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E) = \langle \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E'), \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E'') \rangle_\sigma.$$

where the right hand side means the product defined by the preceding pairing. Thus, the above map is again an isomorphism.

Recall that Deligne defines in [Del87, 4.12] the category of *virtual vector bundle* denoted by $\underline{K}(X)$. As remarked by Riou, the isomorphism of type ϵ_σ shows that the functor $\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}_X$ induces a canonical functor

$$\tilde{\mathrm{Th}}_X : \underline{K}(X) \rightarrow S\mathcal{H}(X).$$

The preceding considerations shows in fact that, for any virtual vector bundle e in $\underline{K}(X)$, the bigraded $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$ -module $\mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathrm{Th}(e))$ is free of rank 1. Moreover, it admits a canonical trivialization which we will denote $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(e)$: if $e = [E] - [E']$ for two vector bundles E and E' , one puts:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{t}}(e) = \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E) \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{t}}(E')^*.$$

A conceptual way of stating this result: the canonical functor $\mathbb{E}^{**} \circ \tilde{\mathrm{Th}}_X$ induces a functor of Picard categories:

$$(\underline{K}(X))^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{E}^{**}(X))$$

where the right hand side category is the Picard category of bigraded virtual line bundles over $\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$.

Theorem 2.4.9. *Consider closed immersions $Z \xrightarrow{k} Y \xrightarrow{i} X$ in $\mathcal{S}$ and assume $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure.*

Then we get the following equality in $\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$:

$$\bar{\eta}_X(Z) = \bar{\eta}_Z(Y) \cdot \bar{\eta}_X(Y),$$

using the pairing $\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{E}_Y^{**}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$ (Paragraph 1.2.8) for the right hand side.

Proof. Recall from Paragraph 1.3.1 the deformation space $D_Z X$ associated with the closed pair (X, Z) . Following [Ros96, §10], in the situation of the proposition, we define the double deformation space by the following formula:

$$D(X, Y, Z) = D(D_Z(X), D_Z(X)|_Y),$$

the deformation space associated with the closed pair $(D_Z(X), D_Z(X)|_Y)$. This scheme is flat over $\mathbb{A}^2$. Its fiber over $(1, 1)$ is X while its fiber over $(0, 0)$ is the normal bundle $E := N(N_Z X, N_Z Y)$. Let us put $D' = D(Y, Y, Z)$ and $E' = N_Z Y$. Then we get the following diagram made of cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s'} & E' & \xrightarrow{\nu} & E \\ s_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow d_0 \\ \mathbb{A}_Z^2 & \longrightarrow & D' & \longrightarrow & D \\ s_0 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow d_1 \\ Z & \xrightarrow{k} & Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

where the first (resp. third) row is seen as the $(0, 0)$ -fiber (resp. $(1, 1)$) of the second one, with respect to its canonical projection to $\mathbb{A}^2$. Because this projection is flat, all the squares in this diagram are transversal.

Thus, one can apply Corollary 2.4.4 to the morphism of closed pair $(X, Z) \xrightarrow{d_1} (D, \mathbb{A}_Z^2)$ (resp. $(E, Z) \xrightarrow{d_0} (D, \mathbb{A}_Z^2)$): because s_1 (resp. s_0) is a strong $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy equivalence, the pullback morphism $d_1^* : \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^2}^{**}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$ (resp. $d_0^* : \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^2}^{**}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(E)$) is an isomorphism.

Applying again Corollary 2.4.4, we deduce that to prove the theorem, it is enough to prove the relation

$$\bar{\eta}_E(X) = \bar{\eta}_{E'}(X) \cdot \bar{\eta}_E(E').$$

In view of formula (2.3.2.a), this is precisely Proposition 2.4.7. $\square$

Remark 2.4.10. In the case where $\mathcal{S}$ is the category of smooth S -schemes, for a base scheme S , the above theorem is very close to [Dég08, 4.30]. The proof given here is considerably simpler, as we use the refined product of 1.2.8 – which uses the strong localization property of $S\mathcal{H}$.

3. GYSIN MORPHISMS AND LOCALIZATION LONG EXACT SEQUENCE

In this section, we fix an oriented absolute ring spectrum $(\mathbb{E}, c_1)$ – recall Def. 2.1.2.

3.1. Residues and the case of closed immersions.

3.1.1. Consider a closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$ with complementary open immersion $j : U \rightarrow X$. Property (A4) of Paragraph 1.1.1 implies the existence of the *localization long exact sequence*:

$$\dots \mathbb{E}_Z^{n,m}(X) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{E}^{n,m}(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathbb{E}^{n,m}(U) \xrightarrow{\pi_{X,Z}} \mathbb{E}_Z^{n+1,m}(X) \dots$$

If we assume that the corresponding closed pair (X, Z) is regular of codimension c and $\mathbb{E}$ -pure, the associated purity isomorphism (2.3.1.b) induces a long exact sequence in cohomology from the preceding one:

$$(3.1.1.a) \quad \dots \mathbb{E}^{n-2c,m-c}(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{E}^{n,m}(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathbb{E}^{n,m}(U) \xrightarrow{\partial_{X,Z}} \mathbb{E}^{n-2c+1,m-c}(Z) \dots$$

Definition 3.1.2. Under the notations above, assuming (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure of codimension c , we call (3.1.1.a) (resp. i_* , $\partial_{X,Z}$) the Gysin long exact sequence (resp. Gysin morphism, residue morphism) associated with the closed pair (X, Z) – or the immersion i .

Note that in term of the refined fundamental class (Def. 2.3.1), the Gysin morphism can be described by the following formula for a cohomology class $z \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(Z)$:

$$(3.1.2.a) \quad i_*(z) := i_!(z \cdot \bar{\eta}_X(Z)).$$

Moreover, the residue of a cohomology class $u \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(U)$ is uniquely determined by the following property:

$$(3.1.2.b) \quad \pi_{X,Z}(u) := \partial_{X,Z}(u) \cdot \bar{\eta}_X(Z).$$

Proposition 3.1.3. (1) Assume $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure. Then for any $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed immersions

$$T \xrightarrow{k} Z \xrightarrow{i} X,$$

the following equality holds: $i_*k_* = (ik)_*$.

(2) Consider an $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$. For any pair $(x, z) \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(Z) \times \mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$,

$$i_*(i^*(x).z) = x.i_*(z).$$

(3) Consider a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{k} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{S}$ such that i and k are $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed immersions. Let ξ be the vector bundle over T defined by formula (2.4.2.a) with respect to the morphism of closed pairs (f, g) . Let e be the rank of ξ .

Then the following formulas hold:

$$(3.1.3.a) \quad f^*i_*(z) = k_*(c_e(\xi).g^*(z)).$$

$$(3.1.3.b) \quad \partial_{Y,T}h^*(u) = c_e(\xi).g^*\partial_{X,Z}(u).$$

Proof. Taking care of formulas (3.1.2.a) and (3.1.2.b), points (1), (2), (3) are respectively consequences of Th. 2.4.9, Proposition 1.2.9(E4)+(E7), Corollary 2.4.4. $\square$

Remark 3.1.4. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion between smooth S -schemes. According to point (1) of Example 1.3.3, the closed pair (X, Z) is $\mathbb{E}$ -pure. Then both Example 2.2.10 and the preceding definition gives a pushforward of the form

$$i_* : \mathbb{E}^{**}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(X).$$

Remark 2.3.3 shows these two constructions coincide.

3.2. Projective lci morphisms.

3.2.1. Let X be a scheme, $P = \mathbb{P}_X^n$ and consider the canonical projection $p : P \rightarrow X$. Recall we have introduced in Example 2.2.10 the Gysin morphism: $p_* : \mathbb{E}^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}_X^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{*+2n,*+n}(X)$ based on the construction of [Dég08]. We give an alternative construction which uses the point of view of cohomologies considered here. It is based on the following facts which follow directly from the projective bundle theorem (2.1.9): $A = E^* * (X)$:

- The group $\mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$ is a free A -module of finite rank.
- The Künneth map

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{**}(P \times_X P), (x, y) \mapsto p_1^*(x) \cup p_2^*(y)$$

is an isomorphism.

From the first point, we deduce that the A -module $\mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$ is dualizable. Let $\mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee$ be its A -dual and

$$ev : \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \rightarrow A$$

be the evaluation map. Let $\delta : P \rightarrow P \times_X P$ be the diagonal immersion of P/X . Using the Gysin morphism and the second point, we get a co-pairing of A -modules:

$$(3.2.1.a) \quad \epsilon_P : A = E^*(X) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \xrightarrow{\delta_*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P \times_X P) \simeq \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P).$$

We claim this is a duality co-pairing in the sense that the induced map

$$(3.2.1.b) \quad \mathcal{D}_P : E^{**}(X)^\vee \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \epsilon_P} E^{**}(X)^\vee \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \xrightarrow{ev \otimes 1} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, the computation of the matrix of $\mathcal{D}_P$ in the base given by the projective bundle theorem is precisely the same as that of [Dég08, Lem. 5.5]: it is a triangular matrix with the identity diagonal. Note that $\mathcal{D}_P$ is homogeneous of degree $(-2n, -n)$.

Definition 3.2.2. Using the notations above, we define the Gysin morphism associated with p as the following composite morphism:

$$p_* : \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_P^{-1}} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee \xrightarrow{(p^*)^\vee} E^{**}(X)^\vee = \mathbb{E}^{**}(X),$$

homogeneous of degree $(2n, n)$.

In other words, p_* is the transpose of p^* with respect to the duality given by the co-pairing 3.2.1.a. In view of [Dég08, Prop. 5.26], the above definition coincide with that of Example 2.2.10.

3.2.3. The Gysin morphism introduced above satisfies the following properties:

- (1) For any integers $n, m \geq 0$, considering the canonical projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_X^n \times_X \mathbb{P}_X^m & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathbb{P}_X^n \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{P}_X^m & \xrightarrow{q} & X \end{array}$$

one has: $p_* q'_* = q_* p'_*$.

- (2) For any cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_Z^n & \xrightarrow{l} & \mathbb{P}_X^n \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

where i is an $\mathbb{E}$ -pure closed immersion and p is the canonical projection: $i_* q_* = p_* l_*$.

- (3) For any integer $n \geq 0$ and any section s of the projection $p : \mathbb{P}_X^n \rightarrow X$: $p_* s_* = 1$.

For the proof of these properties, we use Remark 3.1.4 and refer the reader to [Dég08], respectively Lemmas 5.8, 5.9 and 5.10. Then the following lemma follows formally from these properties – see [Dég08, Lem. 5.11]:

Lemma 3.2.4. Assume $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure and consider a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{P}_X^n & & \\ & \nearrow k & & \searrow p & \\ Y & & & & X \\ & \searrow i & \mathbb{P}_X^m & \nearrow q & \end{array}$$

where i (resp. k) is a closed immersion of codimension r (resp. s) and p (resp. q) is the canonical projection. Then, using the above definitions, $p_* k_* = q_* i_*$.

3.2.5. Recall that an X -scheme Y is said to be a *local complete intersection* if it admits locally an immersion into an affine space $\mathbb{A}_X^n$ – see [SGA6, VIII, 1.1]. We will say abusively *lci* instead of local complete intersection.

Given a projective lci morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{S}$, it admits a factorization of the form

$$(3.2.5.a) \quad Y \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_X^n \xrightarrow{p} X$$

where i is a regular closed immersion and p is the canonical projection. This follows from our convention on projective morphisms and [SGA6, 1.2].

Now, assume that $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure. From the preceding lemma, the composite morphism:

$$E^{**}(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_X^n) \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbb{E}^{**}(X)$$

obtained using Definition 3.1.2 and Paragraph 3.2.1 is independent of the choice of the factorization of f .

Definition 3.2.6. Consider the assumptions and notations above.

We put $f_* = p_* i_*$ and call it the Gysin morphism associated with f .

Proposition 3.2.7. Assume $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure.

- (1) For any composable lci projective morphisms f and g : $f_* g_* = (fg)_*$.

- (2) Consider an lci projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and any pair $(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(X) \times \mathbb{E}^{**}(Y)$,

$$f_*(f^*(x).y) = x.f_*(y).$$

Proof. Point (1) now follows formally – see the proof of [Dég08, Prop. 5.14], using: relations (1), (2) of Paragraph 3.2.1 ; point (1) of Proposition 3.1.3 ; the preceding lemma.

Point (2) follows from relation (2) of Proposition 3.1.3 for the case of a closed immersion together with [Dég08, Cor. 5.18] for the case of the projection of $\mathbb{P}_X^n/X$. $\square$

3.2.8. Consider a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{q} & X' \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{S}$ such that p is an lci projective morphism.

Choose an X -embedding ν of Y into a suitable projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_X^n$. Then the preceding square induces a cartesian morphism of closed pairs $\Theta : (\mathbb{P}_{X'}^n, Y') \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_X^n, Y)$ and we denote by ξ the vector bundle over Y' defined by formula (2.4.2.a) with respect to Θ . According to [Ful98, Prop. 6.6(c)] the vector bundle ξ is independent of the choice of ν and we call it the *excess intersection bundle* associated with the square Δ .

Proposition 3.2.9. *Assume $\mathbb{E}$ is absolutely pure and consider the above notations. Let e be the rank of ξ over Y' .*

Then for any $y \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(Y)$, the following relation holds: $f_*p_*(y) = q_*(c_e(\xi).g^*(y))$.

This follows easily from the definition of ξ and point (3) of Proposition 3.1.3.

4. RIEMANN-ROCH FORMULAS

4.0.10. In this section, we will consider two absolute oriented ring spectrum $(\mathbb{E}, c_1^{\mathbb{E}})$, $(\mathbb{F}, c_1^{\mathbb{F}})$ and a morphism of absolute ring spectra (cf Definition 1.2.1):

$$\varphi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}.$$

When considering the constructions of orientation theory as described previously for $\mathbb{E}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}$), we will put an index $\mathbb{E}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}$) in the notation. However, when no confusion is possible, we forget this index.

There is a particular case which we mention as it modifies seriously the notations: this is the case where $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$ and $\varphi = 1_{\mathbb{E}}$. This case is not trivial because we can still consider two different orientations of $\mathbb{E}$. In this case, we will denote them by $c_1^{(1)}$ and $c_1^{(2)}$. Then we will put an index (1) (resp. (2)) in the notation of orientation theory (Chern classes, Gysin morphisms, ...) with respect to the orientation $c_1^{(1)}$ (resp. $c_1^{(2)}$).

4.1. Todd classes.

4.1.1. Let S be a scheme. We deduce from φ a morphism of graded rings:

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_S^\infty) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{P}_S^\infty}} \mathbb{F}^{**}(\mathbb{P}_S^\infty)$$

using the notations of Paragraph 2.1.1. According to the projective bundle theorem (2.1.9), this corresponds to a morphism of ring of power series:

$$\mathbb{E}^{**}(S)[[u]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{**}(S)[[t]]$$

and we denote by $\Phi_S(t)$ the image of u by this map. In other words, this formal power series is characterized by the relation:

$$(4.1.1.a) \quad \varphi_{\mathbb{P}_S^\infty}(c_1^{\mathbb{E}}) = \Phi_S(c_1^{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Note that the restriction of $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}_S^\infty}(c_1^{\mathbb{E}})$ to $\mathbb{P}_S^0$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_S^1$) is 0 (resp. 1) because $c_1^{\mathbb{E}}$ is an orientation and φ is morphism of ring spectra. Thus we can write $\Phi_S(t)$ as:

$$\Phi_S(t) = t + \sum_{i>1} \alpha_i^S . t^i$$

where $\alpha_i^S \in \mathbb{F}^{2-2i,1-i}(S)$. Moreover, these class are stable by pullback.

For any scheme X , we will consider the monoid $M(X)$ generated by the isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X modulo the relations $[E] = [E'] + [E'']$ coming from exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Note M is a presheaf of monoids on $\mathbb{S}$.

Note that $\mathbb{F}^{00}(S)$, equipped with cup-product, is a commutative monoid. We will denote by $\mathbb{F}^{00\times}(S)$ the group made by its invertible elements.

Proposition 4.1.2. *There exists a unique natural transformation of presheaves of monoids*

$$\mathrm{td}_\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{00}$$

such that for any line bundle L over a scheme X ,

$$(4.1.2.a) \quad \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-L) = \frac{\Phi_S(t)}{t} \cdot c_1^\mathbb{F}(L).$$

Moreover, it induces a natural transformation of presheaves of abelian groups:

$$\mathrm{td}_\varphi : K_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{00\times}$$

Proof. The proof is very classical: the uniqueness statement follows from the *splitting principle* while the existence statement follows from the use of *Chern roots*. Note also that the relation (4.1.2.a) is well defined because $c_1(L)$ is nilpotent (see Proposition 2.1.15). The final assertion follows from the fact $\Phi(t)/t$ is an invertible formal power series. $\square$

Remark 4.1.3. According to the construction of the first Chern classes for the oriented ring spectra $(\mathbb{E}, c_1^\mathbb{E})$ and $(\mathbb{F}, c_1^\mathbb{F})$ together with Relations (4.1.1.a) and (4.1.2.a), we get for any line bundle L/S the following identity in $\mathbb{F}^{2,1}(S)$:

$$(4.1.3.a) \quad \varphi_S(c_1^\mathbb{E}(L)) = \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-L) \cup c_1^\mathbb{F}(L).$$

4.2. The case of closed immersions.

4.2.1. Consider a regular closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$, $U = X - Z$. As $\mathbb{E}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}$) is absolutely pure, we can consider the associated refined fundamental class $\bar{\eta}_X^\mathbb{E}(Z)$ in $\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X)$ (resp. $\bar{\eta}_X^\mathbb{F}(Z)$ in $\mathbb{F}_Z^{**}(X)$) – Definition 2.3.1. The morphism φ induces a map in relative cohomology:

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{**}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X,Z}} \mathbb{F}_Z^{**}(X)$$

According to the form of the purity isomorphism (2.3.1.b), we deduce there exists a unique class $\tau_\varphi(X, Z) \in \mathbb{F}^{0,0}(Z)$ such that

$$(4.2.1.a) \quad \varphi_{X,Z}(\bar{\eta}_X^\mathbb{E}(Z)) = \tau_\varphi(X, Z) \cdot \bar{\eta}_X^\mathbb{F}(Z).$$

This relation together with the definition of the localization long exact sequence (3.1.1.a) immediately gives the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.2.1.b) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{E}^{**}(U) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{X,Z}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(Z) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(X) \\ \varphi_U \downarrow & & \tau_\varphi(X,Z) \cdot \varphi_Z \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_X \\ \mathbb{F}^{**}(U) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{X,Z}} & \mathbb{F}^{**}(Z) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathbb{F}^{**}(X). \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the square on the right hand side is a tautological Riemann-Roch formula. Our proof of the actual Riemann-Roch theorem in fact lies in the computation of the class involved in this formula:

Lemma 4.2.2. *In the above assumptions, the following relation holds: $\tau_\varphi(X, Z) = \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_Z X)$.*

Proof. As Relation (4.2.1.a) characterizes uniquely the class $\tau_\varphi(X, Z)$, whatever the regular closed pair (X, Z) is, the deformation diagram (2.3.1.a) gives the relation: $\tau_\varphi(X, Z) = \tau_\varphi(N_Z X, Z)$.

Thus, we are reduced to prove that for any scheme X and any vector bundle E/X ,

$$\mathrm{td}_\varphi(E) = \tau_\varphi(E, X).$$

Using again the characterizing relation (4.2.1.a), which involves refined Thom classes according to (2.3.2.a), we deduce:

- for any morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and any vector bundle E/X , $f^* \tau_\varphi(E, X) = \tau_\varphi(f^{-1}(E), Y)$.
- for any scheme X and any exact sequence of vector bundles over X ,

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\text{one has: } \tau_\varphi(E, X) = \tau_\varphi(E', X) \tau_\varphi(E'', X).$$

More precisely: for the first relation, one uses the compatibility of the refined Thom class with pullback and for the second, one applies Proposition 2.4.7.

In other words, one gets from a morphism of monoids

$$M(S) \rightarrow F^{00}(S), [E] \mapsto \tau_\varphi(E, X)$$

which is contravariantly natural in S . According to the uniqueness statement of Proposition 4.1.2, we are reduced to the case of line bundles.

Let L/X be a line bundle and P be its projective completion. Let ξ be the universal quotient bundle on P . According to Relation (4.1.3.a), one obtains:

$$\varphi_S(c_1^{\mathbb{P}}(\xi)) = \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-\xi) \cup c_1^{\mathbb{P}}(\xi).$$

Let s be the canonical section of P/X . Applying the right commutative square of (4.2.1.b) to the case of the closed pair (P, X) , we obtain:

$$\varphi_S(s_*(1)) = s_*(\tau_\varphi(P, X)) = s_*(\tau_\varphi(L, X))$$

According to (3.1.2.a), (2.3.2.b) and (2.2.3.a): $s_*(1) = c_1^{\mathbb{P}}(\xi)$. Thus we obtain:

$$\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-\xi) \cup s_*(1) = s_*(\tau_\varphi(L, X)).$$

Let $p : P \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection. Of course, $p \circ s = 1$. Thus, applying p_* to the preceding relation allows to conclude. Indeed:

$$p_*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-\xi) \cup s_*(1)) = p_*(p^* s^*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-\xi)) \cup s_*(1)) \stackrel{(*)}{=} s^*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-\xi)) = \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-L),$$

where $(*)$ is the projection formula 3.1.3(2). □

Finally the following Riemann-Roch formulas are consequences of the commutative diagram (4.2.1.a) joint with the preceding lemma.

Theorem 4.2.3. *Under the assumptions of the previous paragraph, the following formulas hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X(i_*(z)) &= i_*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_Z X) \cup \varphi_Z(z)), \\ \partial_{X,Z}(\varphi_U(u)) &= \mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_Z X) \cup \varphi_Z(\partial_{X,Z}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

4.3. The general case.

4.3.1. Consider a projective lci morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ (Par. 3.2.5). Given a factorization (3.2.5.a) of f , we define the *virtual tangent bundle* of f as the element of $K_0(Y)$:

$$\tau_f := [i^{-1}T_p] - [N_i]$$

where T_p is the tangent space to p and N_i is the normal bundle of i . Standard considerations show this definition is independent of the chosen factorization (see [SGA6, VIII, 2.2]).

Theorem 4.3.2. *Consider the notations above. Then for any element $y \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(Y)$, the following formula hold:*

$$\varphi_Y(f_*(y)) = f_*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(\tau_f) \cup \varphi_Y(y)).$$

Proof. According to the multiplicativity of the Todd class and the compatibility of the Gysin morphism with respect to composition this formula can be divided in two cases according to a factorization (3.2.5.a) of f . The case of a closed immersion was treated above (4.2.3) and it remains to consider the case of the canonical projection p of a projective space $P = \mathbb{P}_X^n/X$.

We first treat the case where $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}_X^\infty}(c_1^{\mathbb{E}}) = c_1^{\mathbb{F}}$. This implies that $\mathrm{td}_\varphi = 1$. Consider the notations of Paragraph 3.2.1 with respect to both $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}$. The Riemann-Roch formula for the diagonal embedding δ gives the formula: $\varphi_{P \times_X P} \delta_* = \delta_* \varphi_P$. This implies the commutativity of the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}^{**}(X) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_P^{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_{\mathbb{E}^{**}(X)} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \\ \varphi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_P \otimes \varphi_P \\ \mathbb{F}^{**}(X) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_P^{\mathbb{F}}} & \mathbb{F}^{**}(P) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}^{**}(X)} \mathbb{F}^{**}(P) \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_P^{\mathbb{E}}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \\ \varphi_P^\vee \uparrow & & \downarrow \varphi_P \\ \mathbb{F}^{**}(P)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_P^{\mathbb{F}}} & \mathbb{F}^{**}(P) \end{array}$$

Thus the definition of p_* (i.e. Def. 3.2.2) concludes this case.

It remains now to consider the case where $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$, $\varphi = 1_{\mathbb{E}}$, for which we adopt the convention of the last paragraph of 4.0.10. Thus, we have to prove

$$(4.3.2.a) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{E}^{**}(P), \quad p_*^{(1)}(\alpha) = p_*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(T_p) \cdot \alpha).$$

We consider again the notations of Paragraph 3.2.1 with respect to both $(\mathbb{E}, c_1^{(1)})$ and $(\mathbb{E}, c_1^{(2)})$. Let $\pi : P \times_X P \rightarrow P$ be the projection to the second factor. The Riemann-Roch formula for δ can be read in this case as:

$$\delta_*^{(1)}(\alpha) = \delta_*^{(2)}(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_\delta) \cup \alpha) = \delta_*^{(2)}(\delta^* \pi^*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_\delta)) \cup \alpha) = \pi^*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_\delta)) \cup \delta_*^{(2)}(\alpha)$$

– we use 3.2.7(1) for the last equality. Recall from Paragraph 3.2.1 we have a Künneth isomorphism $\mathbb{E}^{**}(P \times_X P) = \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)$. Through this identification, and the fact $N_\delta = T_p$, the class $p_2^*(\mathrm{td}_\varphi(-N_\delta))$ corresponds to $1 \otimes_A \mathrm{td}_\varphi(T_p)$. Thus the preceding equality leads to the commutativity of the diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_P^{(1)}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow 1 \otimes_A \mathrm{td}_\varphi(T_p) \\ A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_P^{(2)}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \otimes_A \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_P^{(1)}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \mathrm{td}_\varphi(T_p) \\ \mathbb{E}^{**}(P)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_P^{(2)}} & \mathbb{E}^{**}(P) \end{array}$$

Thus formula (4.3.2.a) finally follows from Definition 3.2.2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ayo07] Joseph Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. II*, Astérisque (2007), no. 315, vi+364 pp. (2008).
- [Bor03] Simone Borghesi, *Algebraic Morava K-theories*, Invent. Math. **151** (2003), no. 2, 381–413.
- [CD09] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise, *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, arXiv:0912.2110, 2009.
- [Cis10] D.-C. Cisinski, *Descente par clatements en k-thorie invariante par homotopie*, arXiv:1003.1487v2, 2010.
- [Dég08] F. Déglise, *Around the gysin triangle II*, Doc. Math. **13** (2008), 613–675.
- [Dég10] ———, *Orientable homotopy modules*, arXiv:1005.4187, 2010.
- [Del87] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*, Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), Contemp. Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 93–177. MR 902592 (89b:32038)
- [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1644323 (99d:14003)
- [Gil81] Henri Gillet, *Riemann-Roch theorems for higher algebraic K-theory*, Adv. in Math. **40** (1981), no. 3, 203–289.

- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR MR1712872 (2001j:18001)
 - [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky, $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1999), no. 90, 45–143 (2001).
 - [NSØ09] Niko Naumann, Markus Spitzweck, and Paul Arne Østvær, *Motivic Landweber exactness*, Doc. Math. **14** (2009), 551–593.
 - [Pan04] I. Panin, *Riemann-Roch theorems for oriented cohomology*, Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 131, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 261–333.
 - [PPR08] Ivan Panin, Konstantin Pimenov, and Oliver Röndigs, *A universality theorem for Voevodsky’s algebraic cobordism spectrum*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **10** (2008), no. 2, 211–226.
 - [Rio10] Joël Riou, *Algebraic K-theory, $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy and Riemann-Roch theorems*, J. Topol. **3** (2010), no. 2, 229–264. MR 2651359
 - [Ros96] Markus Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393 (electronic). MR 1418952 (98a:14006)
 - [Vez01] Gabriele Vezzosi, *Brown-Peterson spectra in stable $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **106** (2001), 47–64.
 - [Voe98] Vladimir Voevodsky, *$\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998), no. Extra Vol. I, 1998, pp. 579–604 (electronic). MR 1648048 (99j:14018)
-
- [EGA2] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 8, 222. MR 0163909 (29 #1208)
 - [SGA6] P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck, and L. Illusie, *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, 1971, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–67 (SGA 6).

LAGA, CNRS (UMR 7539), UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13, AVENUE JEAN-BAPTISTE CLÉMENT, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: `deglise@math.univ-paris13.fr`

URL: `http://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/~deglise/`